

December 2, 2011

Labor and Employment Law Bulletin

NLRB Approves Significant Changes to Representation Election Procedures

In June 2011, the National Labor Relations Board issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that sought to significantly change the procedures for representation elections under the National Labor Relations Act. The purpose of the Proposed Rulemaking was to limit the time that an employer has to express its views to employees regarding unionization during a campaign. The NLRB held two days of hearings in July 2011 regarding the proposed rule and received over 65,000 written comments.

At a public meeting on November 30, 2011, by a 2-1 party-line vote, the NLRB voted in favor of a resolution to adopt many provisions of the rule proposed in June. While some of the more controversial provisions were not included, the amendments that the NLRB approved in its November 30 resolution will quicken the election process.

That said, the Board still must draft a final rule and vote on it. However, with the recess appointment of Board Member Craig Becker expiring on December 31, 2011, the Board will lose its three-member quorum and therefore will be unable to adopt rules or otherwise conduct business in any significant manner after that date. Senate Republicans have announced that they will remain in session between now and the 2012 elections, depriving President Obama of the ability to make any additional recess appointments to the NLRB. As a result, employers should expect that the Board will move quickly to prepare and vote on a final rule within the next few weeks.

The resolution that the Board adopted on November 30 contains six procedural amendments

to be included in the final rule regarding changes to the election process:

1. The final rule would amend the existing rule regarding the purpose of pre-election hearings, making them for the sole purpose of determining “whether a question concerning representation exists that should be resolved by an election.” The primary effect of this rule is to preclude litigation about most voter-eligibility issues, such as supervisory status, during pre-election hearings. It is unclear whether this would preclude parties from litigating the overall appropriateness of the petitioned-for bargaining unit. The resolution appears to be *more restrictive* than the rule proposed by the Board in June 2011, which would have allowed the parties to litigate eligibility issues in a pre-election hearing if those issues involved at least 20 percent of the proposed voting unit.
2. Under current rules, parties may file post-hearing briefs as a matter of right. The amended rule leaves to the discretion of the hearing officer whether post-hearing briefs will be permitted. In most cases, the parties will be allowed to make closing arguments at the end of the hearing, and briefs will be permitted only where unique or complicated issues are involved.
3. Current rules require parties to file separate appeals to seek Board review regarding pre-election and post-election

issues. The amended rules eliminate all pre-election review by the Board, and will consolidate all issues for review, including election objections, in a single, post-election appeal. According to Chairman Pearce, this will avoid appeals of issues “that become moot as a result of the election.”

4. The fourth amendment eliminates the 25- to 30-day waiting period between issuance of a Regional Director’s Decision and Direction of Election and the scheduling of an election. The purpose of this waiting period is to allow parties to request review of the Regional Director’s decision by the Board, a process that is eliminated by the third amendment.
5. The fifth amendment would limit to “extraordinary circumstances” occasions when requests for special permission to appeal to the Board would be granted. Under this standard, the Board would entertain pre-election appeals only when the issue would “otherwise evade review.”
6. Currently the Board must consider any post-election requests for review. The sixth amendment would make Board review of post-election appeals discretionary, permitting the Board to summarily dispose of appeals “that do not present a serious issue for review.” This is the same standard that currently exists for pre-election reviews.

Several components of the rule proposed in June were *not* included in the Board’s resolution adopted on November 30. Those are (i) inclusion of employee e-mail address and telephone number on voter-eligibility lists provided to the union before the election, (ii) reducing the time that an employer has to provide the voter eligibility list to the union from seven to two days, (iii) requiring parties to state their positions regarding pre-election issues prior to the hearing and (iv) requiring an employer to provide a “preliminary voter list” before the pre-election

hearing. However, on November 30, the Board reserved its right to continue considering these elements of the June rulemaking session.

As NLRB Member Brian Hayes noted at the November 30 public meeting during which the Board voted to adopt the resolution, the median time between the filing of a petition and an election in 2010 was 38 days, and about 95 percent of all elections occur within 56 days. The time target in most cases is for an election to occur within 42 days of the filing of a petition. The amendments approved in the Board’s resolution may have little effect on elections in which the parties agree about the composition of the voting unit and other details without a hearing. However, where there is a dispute over the eligibility of certain voters, elections will occur much more quickly than in the past. In addition, the amendments may increase uncertainty for employers during campaigns. Issues of supervisory status will not be resolved until after an election is over. As a result, employers may be placed at increased risk of unfair labor practice allegations for the conduct of individuals who were not deemed supervisors until long after a campaign concluded.

As a result of these rules that the NLRB is soon to adopt, employers should review their contingency plans for organizing drives and give serious thought to the content of condensed campaigns. Shorter election campaigns may soon be a reality.

If you have questions about these or other issues, please contact **J. Kevin Hennessy** at +1 (312) 609 7868, **Kenneth F. Sparks** at +1 (312) 609 7877, **Mark L. Stolzenburg** at +1 (312) 609 7512 or **Lyle S. Zuckerman** at +1 (212) 407 6964. ■

Vedder Price is a founding member of the Employment Law Alliance—a network of more than 3,000 employment and labor lawyers “counseling and representing employers worldwide.” Membership provides Vedder Price and its clients with network access to leading employment and labor counsel in all 50 states and over 120 countries around the world.

Chicago Labor and Employment Group Members

Thomas G. Abram +1 (312) 609 7760
 Bruce R. Alper +1 (312) 609 7890
 Paige O. Barnett +1 (312) 609 7676
 Mark I. Bogart +1 (312) 609 7878
 Lawrence J. Casazza +1 (312) 609 7770
 Katherine A. Christy +1 (312) 609 7588
 Michael G. Cleveland +1 (312) 609 7860
 Steven P. Cohn +1 (312) 609 4596
 Christopher T. Collins +1 (312) 609 7706
 Emily T. Collins +1 (312) 609 7572
 Megan J. Crowhurst +1 (312) 609 7622
 Thomas P. Desmond +1 (312) 609 7647
 Aaron R. Gelb +1 (312) 609 7844
 Elizabeth N. Hall +1 (312) 609 7795
 Steven L. Hamann +1 (312) 609 7579
 Thomas G. Hancuch +1 (312) 609 7824
 Benjamin A. Hartssock +1 (312) 609 7922
 J. Kevin Hennessy +1 (312) 609 7868
 Scot A. Hinshaw +1 (312) 609 7527

Jonathan E. Hyun +1 (312) 609 7791
 John J. Jacobsen, Jr. +1 (312) 609 7680
 John P. Jacoby +1 (312) 609 7633
 Edward C. Jepson, Jr. +1 (312) 609 7582
 Michael C. Joyce +1 (312) 609 7627
 Andrea Lewis +1 (312) 609 7739
 Philip L. Mowery +1 (312) 609 7642
 Joseph K. Mulherin +1 (312) 609 7725
 Christopher L. Nybo +1 (312) 609 7729
 Margo Wolf O'Donnell +1 (312) 609 7609
 Cara J. Ottenweller +1 (312) 609 7735
 Paul F. Russell +1 (312) 609 7740
 Richard H. Schnadig +1 (312) 609 7810
 Robert F. Simon +1 (312) 609 7550
 Patrick W. Spangler +1 (312) 609 7797
 Kenneth F. Sparks +1 (312) 609 7877
 James A. Spizzo +1 (312) 609 7705
 Kelly A. Starr +1 (312) 609 7768
 Mark L. Stolzenburg +1 (312) 609 7512
 Theodore J. Tierney +1 (312) 609 7530
 Timothy J. Tommaso +1 (312) 609 7688

Thomas M. Wilde, *Chair* +1 (312) 609 7821
 Jessica L. Winski +1 (312) 609 7678
 Charles B. Wolf +1 (312) 609 7888

New York Labor and Employment Group Members

Michael Goettig +1 (212) 407 7781
 Mark S. Goldstein +1 (212) 407 6941
 Daniel C. Green +1 (212) 407 7735
 Alan M. Koral +1 (212) 407 7750
 Neal I. Korval +1 (212) 407 7780
 Laura Sack +1 (212) 407 6960
 Roy P. Salins +1 (212) 407 6965
 Michelle D. Velásquez +1 (212) 407 7792
 Jonathan A. Wexler +1 (212) 407 7732
 Lyle S. Zuckerman +1 (212) 407 6964

Washington, DC Labor and Employment Group Members

Amy L. Bess +1 (202) 312 3361
 Sadina Montani +1 (202) 312 3363

VEDDERPRICE®

222 NORTH LASALLE STREET
 CHICAGO, IL 60601
 T: +1 (312) 609 7500
 F: +1 (312) 609 5005

1633 BROADWAY
 47TH FLOOR
 NEW YORK, NY 10019
 T: +1 (212) 407 7700
 F: +1 (212) 407 7799

1401 I STREET NW
 SUITE 1100
 WASHINGTON, DC 20005
 T: +1 (202) 312 3320
 F: +1 (202) 312 3322

200 ALDERSGATE
 LONDON EC1A 4HD
 T: + 44 (0)20 3440 4680
 F: + 44 (0)20 3440 4681

www.vedderprice.com

Labor and Employment Law Group

Vedder Price is known as one of the premier employment law firms in the nation, representing private and public sector management clients of all sizes in all areas of employment law. The fact that over 50 of the firm's attorneys concentrate in employment law assures ready availability of experienced labor counsel on short notice; constant backup for all ongoing client projects; continual training and review of newer attorneys' work by seasoned employment law practitioners; and intra-area knowledge that small labor sections or boutique labor firms cannot provide.

About Vedder Price

Vedder Price is a business-oriented law firm composed of more than 265 attorneys in Chicago, New York, Washington, DC and London. The firm combines broad, diversified legal experience with particular strengths in commercial finance, corporate and business law, financial institutions, labor and employment law and litigation, employee benefits and executive compensation law, occupational safety and health, general litigation, environmental law, securities, investment management, tax, real estate, intellectual property, estate planning and administration, health care, trade and professional associations and not-for-profit organizations.

The *Labor and Employment Law Bulletin* is published periodically by the law firm of Vedder Price P.C. It is intended to keep our clients and interested parties generally informed on labor law issues and developments. It is not a substitute for professional advice. For purposes of the New York State Bar Rules, this Bulletin may be considered ATTORNEY ADVERTISING. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Vedder Price P.C. is affiliated with Vedder Price LLP, which operates in England and Wales.

© 2011 Vedder Price P.C. Reproduction of this Bulletin is permissible only with credit to Vedder Price. For additional copies, an electronic copy of this Bulletin or address changes, please contact us at info@vedderprice.com.