December 2011

Focus on Restrictive Covenants and Trade Secrets

Illinois Supreme Court's Decision in *Reliable Fire* Broadens Enforceability of Restrictive Covenants

Many clients who deal regularly with employee restrictive covenants have eagerly awaited the Illinois Supreme Court's decision in Reliable Fire Equipment Co. v. Arredondo et al. The court was faced with answering the question of whether employers seeking to enforce restrictive covenants must show a legitimate interest-in, for example, customer business relationships and/or confidential information—in addition to demonstrating the reasonableness of the time, territory and activity restraints. There has been disagreement recently among the Illinois appellate courts as to whether a protectable interest need be shown at all, a position which, if adopted by the supreme court, would significantly alter how restrictive covenants are written and enforced in Illinois.

On December 1, 2011, the court issued its decision in Reliable Fire (2011 IL 111871) and clarified the analytical framework under which Illinois courts should examine the enforceability of restrictive covenants. The court held that employers must demonstrate a legitimate business interest, and held further that whether such interest exists "is based on the totality of the facts and circumstances of the individual case." The court further held that various factors should be considered in this analysis, including, but not limited to, the near-permanence of customer relationships, the employee's acquisition of confidential information through the employment relationship, and time and place limitations. None of these factors, the court held, is generally more important or carries more weight than any other, but rather the weight to be afforded each will depend on the specific facts and circumstances of each individual case.

The court's announcement of the applicable framework does not provide clarification with respect to how restrictive covenants should be drafted or greater predictability as to when they will be enforced. Instead, the totality-of-circumstances test makes it evident that each covenant will rise or fall based on

the facts of each individual case. Further, as the court indicated, while appellate court precedent over the past three decades remains intact, that precedent only provides "nonconclusive examples of applying the promisee's legitimate business interest, as a component of the three-prong rule of reason" That three-part test will require the lower courts in Illinois to determine, based on the facts of each case, whether (1) the restraint in question is necessary to protect a legitimate interest of the employer; (2) the restraint imposes a hardship on the employee or the public; and (3) the extent or scope of the restraint is otherwise reasonable.

In practical terms, the supreme court's decision will make it more difficult for the lower courts in Illinois to decide, on a motion to dismiss, that a covenant is facially overbroad and unenforceable. Rather, courts will be inclined to allow restrictive covenant cases to proceed to the discovery, preliminary injunction and trial stages so that a full (or fuller) examination of the facts of each case can be made. Of course, whether this outcome can be considered more favorable to employers or employees will, likewise, depend on the facts and circumstances of each situation. Most of our practitioners agree that the Reliable Fire decision will at least initially lead to an increase in litigation involving noncompetition covenants, and may also prompt a renewed push for the Illinois legislature to intervene to enact a statute governing the permissible scope of noncompetition agreements in Illinois.

The law in this area is constantly evolving, including on a state-by-state basis. We regularly counsel clients on these matters and represent clients in restrictive covenant and trade secrets matters throughout the country. If you have any questions about this report or our practice in this area, please contact any one of the lawyers listed below or any other Vedder Price attorney with whom you've worked.

Restrictive Covenants, Trade Secrets and Unfair Competition Group Members

Chicago

Anthony J. Ashley+1	(312)	609	7884
David E. Bennett+1	(312)	609	7714
Stanley B. Block+1	(312)	609	7505
Sarah R. Breitlander+1	(312)	609	7534
Michael A. Chabraja+1	(312)	609	7790
Thomas P. Cimino, Jr+1	(312)	609	7784
Rachel T. Copenhaver+1	(312)	609	7514
Rebecca L. Dandy+1	(312)	609	7923
Thomas R. Dee+1	(312)	609	7746
David L. Doyle+1	(312)	609	7782
Joshua A. Dunn+1	(312)	609	7510
James V. Garvey, Chair and			
<i>Editor</i> +1	(312)	609	7712
John J. Gresens+1	(312)	609	7947

Nicole J. Highland+	1	(312)	609	7914
Scot A. Hinshaw+	1	(312)	609	7527
Frederic T. Knape+	1	(312)	609	7559
William A. Kummerer+	1	(312)	609	7687
Brian W. Ledebuhr+	1	(312)	609	7845
Randall M. Lending+	1	(312)	609	7564
Joshua Nichols+	1	(312)	609	7724
Christopher L. Nybo+	1	(312)	609	7729
Margo Wolf O'Donnell+	1	(312)	609	7609
Jeanah Park+	1	(312)	609	7532
Travis J. Quick+	1	(312)	609	7709
Robert S. Rigg+	1	(312)	609	7766
Timothy M. Schank+	1	(312)	609	7585
Chad A. Schiefelbein+	1	(312)	609	7737
Joseph A. Strubbe+	1	(312)	609	7765
Cindy S. Stuyvesant+	1	(312)	609	7675
William W. Thorsness+	1	(312)	609	7595
Michael J. Turgeon+	1	(312)	609	7716
Alain Villeneuve+	1	(312)	609	7745

William J. Voller III+1 (312) 609 7841
Michael J. Waters+1 (312) 609 7726
Gregory G. Wrobel+1 (312) 609 7722
M. Derek Zolner+1 (312) 609 7578
New York
John C. Cleary+1 (212) 407 7740
Alan M. Koral+1 (212) 407 7750
Neal I. Korval+1 (212) 407 7780
Laura Sack+1 (212) 407 6960
Roy P. Salins+1 (212) 407 6965
Jonathan A. Wexler+1 (212) 407 7732
Lyle S. Zuckerman+1 (212) 407 6964
Washington, DC
Amy L. Bess+1 (202) 312 3361
Mark J. Guttag+1 (202) 312 3381
Ajay A. Jagtiani+1 (202) 312 3380

VEDDERPRICE.

222 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601 T: +1 (312) 609 7500 F: +1 (312) 609 5005

1633 BROADWAY 47TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10019 T: +1 (212) 407 7700 F: +1 (212) 407 7799

1401 I STREET NW **SUITE 1100** WASHINGTON, DC 20005 T: +1 (202) 312 3320 F: +1 (202) 312 3322

200 ALDERSGATE LONDON EC1A 4HD T: + 44 (0)20 3440 4680 F: + 44 (0)20 3440 4681

www.vedderprice.com

Restrictive Covenants, Trade Secrets and Unfair Competition Group

The Vedder Price Restrictive Covenants, Trade Secrets and Unfair Competition group has been at the forefront of legal developments in restrictive covenants, trade secrets and unfair competition for the past 35 years. Our attorneys collectively have over 95 years of experience litigating and counseling clients on these issues. These clients range from Fortune 50 companies to individual entrepreneurs. We have successfully prosecuted and defended hundreds of claims involving restrictive covenants, trade secrets and unfair competition throughout the United States at the state and federal trial and appellate court levels.

About Vedder Price

Vedder Price is a business-oriented law firm composed of more than 265 attorneys in Chicago, New York, Washington, DC and London. The firm combines broad, diversified legal experience with particular strengths in commercial finance, corporate and business law, financial institutions, labor and employment law and litigation, employee benefits and executive compensation law, occupational safety and health, general litigation,

environmental law, securities, investment management, tax, real estate, intellectual property, estate planning and administration, health care, trade and professional associations and not-for-profit organizations.

Sadina Montani.....+1 (202) 312 3363

Focus on Restrictive Covenants and Trade Secrets is published periodically by the law firm of Vedder Price P.C. It is intended to keep our clients and interested parties generally informed about restrictive covenant/trade secrets issues. It is not a substitute for professional advice. For purposes of the New York State Bar Rules, this bulletin may be considered ATTORNEY ADVERTISING. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Vedder Price P.C. is affiliated with Vedder Price LLP, which operates in England and Wales.

© 2011 Vedder Price P.C. Reproduction of this bulletin is permissible only with credit to Vedder Price. For additional copies, an electronic copy of this bulletin or address changes, please contact us at info@vedderprice.com.