Labor and Employment Law Bulletin

EEOC Issues Final ADA Amendments Act Regulations

The EEOC's final regulations implementing the ADA Amendments Act of 2008 ("Amendments Act") were published in the Federal Register on March 25, 2011, and will be effective on May 24, 2011. As anticipated, the regulations make it much easier for employees to establish that they are "disabled" within the meaning of the ADA.

The Amendments Act provides that the definition of "disability" must be construed in favor of broad coverage "to the maximum extent permitted" by the ADA, and the determination of whether an individual has a disability "should not require extensive analysis." In its recently published final regulations, the EEOC explained: "The effect of these changes is to make it easier for an individual seeking protection under the ADA to establish that he or she has a disability within the meaning of the ADA."

The practical effect of the Amendments Act and the EEOC's final regulations is that the primary focus of the ADA has shifted from determining whether an individual has a disability to determining whether the employer provided reasonable accommodation.

The key points in the final regulations are:

Definition of Disability: While the definition of disability remains "a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more . . . major life activities," the regulations emphasize that going forward, determining whether an employee has a disability "should not demand extensive analysis." Notably, certain conditions – such as deafness, autism, cancer, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, diabetes,

bipolar disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, HIV and MS – will now "virtually always" be found to be disabilities because of their "inherent nature."

Major Life Activities: Whether an activity is "major" is not to be determined by its "central importance to daily life," and the regulations provide a nonexhaustive list of activities (such as sleeping, thinking and interacting with others) and the operation of major bodily functions (such as the immune system, normal cell growth and reproductive functions) that qualify.

Substantially Limits: The term "substantially limits" must be construed broadly to permit expansive coverage to the maximum extent contemplated by the ADA, and is not meant to be a "demanding standard." Further, an impairment "need not prevent, or significantly or severely restrict" the performance of a major life activity to be considered substantially limiting, and scientific, medical and statistical analysis are not necessary to confirm that it is.

Impairments that are Episodic or in Remission: Impairments that are episodic, such as epilepsy, or in remission, such as cancer, are disabilities if they substantially limit a major life activity when active.

Mitigation: Whether an impairment is a disability must be considered without regard to mitigation or ameliorative measures, such as medication, equipment and aids, with the exception of contact lenses and ordinary eyeglasses.

Regarded As: Employees no longer need to show that their employers perceived them to be substantially limited in a major life activity to established that they were "regarded as" disabled, but rather only that they were subjected to a prohibited action because of an actual or perceived impairment.

No Minimum Duration: Impairments lasting only a short period of time may be disabilities if "sufficiently severe." The duration of the impairment is "only one factor in determining whether [it] substantially limits a major life activity," and according to the regulations, the effects of ailments lasting even less than six months can be substantially limiting.

Practical Impact for Employers

The Amendments Act and the EEOC's final regulations set a low bar for establishing that an impairment qualifies as a disability and confirm that the primary focus of the EEOC is and will remain on reasonable accommodations and whether discrimination occurred. For employers, this highlights the importance of implementing or updating reasonable accommodation policies and practices. This includes training human resource professionals, supervisors and managers in how to:

- Recognize an employee's potential need for accommodation
- Identify potential accommodations to consider
- Engage in an interactive process with the employee to identify and evaluate potential accommodations and determine which would enable the employee to perform the essential functions of his or her job
- Evaluate whether any potential accommodations would pose an undue hardship on the employer

- Evaluate whether the employee poses a direct threat to him/herself or others
- Monitor and, if necessary, re-evaluate accommodations once they are in place to ensure that they are effective

While the human resources department typically should lead the accommodation process, supervisors and managers play important roles. Often it is the frontline supervisor who first is aware of an employee's limitations and need for accommodation. Likewise, the frontline supervisors often have the most complete understanding of the employee's job duties. For these reasons, training regarding the accommodation process should include supervisors and managers.

For further information regarding the impact of the regulations on your business' policies and practices, please contact **Thomas M. Wilde** (312-609-7821), **Elizabeth N. Hall** (312-609-7795), **Laura Sack** (212-407-6960), or **Amy L. Bess** (202-312-3361).

Vedder Price is a founding member of the Employment Law Alliance—a network of more than 3,000 employment and labor lawyers "counseling and representing employers worldwide." Membership provides Vedder Price and its clients with network access to leading employment and labor counsel in all 50 states and over 100 countries around the world.

Chicago Labor and Employment Group Members

. 312-609-7760
. 312-609-7890
. 312-609-7676
. 312-609-7878
. 312-609-7770
. 312-609-7588
. 312-609-7860
. 312-609-4596
. 312-609-7706
. 312-609-7572
. 312-609-7622
. 312-609-7647
. 312-609-7844
. 312-609-7795
. 312-609-7579

Thomas G. Hancuch	312-609-7824
Benjamin A. Hartsock	312-609-7922
J. Kevin Hennessy	312-609-7868
Scot A. Hinshaw	312-609-7527
Jonathan E. Hyun	312-609-7791
John J. Jacobsen, Jr	312-609-7680
John P. Jacoby	312-609-7633
Edward C. Jepson, Jr.	312-609-7582
Michael C. Joyce	312-609-7627
Philip L. Mowery	312-609-7642
Joseph K. Mulherin	312-609-7725
Christopher L. Nybo	312-609-7729
Margo Wolf O'Donnell	312-609-7609
Michelle T. Olson	312-609-7643
James S. Petrie	312-609-7660

Paul F. Russell	312-609-7740
Richard H. Schnadig	312-609-7810
Robert F. Simon	312-609-7550
Patrick W. Spangler	312-609-7797
Kenneth F. Sparks	312-609-7877
James A. Spizzo	312-609-7705
Kelly A. Starr	312-609-7768
Mark L. Stolzenburg	312-609-7512
Theodore J. Tierney	312-609-7530
Timothy J. Tommaso	312-609-7688
Thomas M. Wilde, Chair	312-609-7821
Jessica L. Winski	312-609-7678
Charles B. Wolf	312-609-7888

New York Labor and Employment Group Members

Alan M. Koral	212-407-7750
Neal I. Korval	212-407-7780
Laura Sack	212-407-6960

Jonathan A. Wexler 212-407-7732	Μ
Lyle S. Zuckerman	D
Michael Goettig	R

Mark S. Goldstein	. 212-407-6941
Daniel C. Green	. 212-407-7735
Roy P. Salins	. 212-407-6965

Washington, D.C. Labor and Employment Group Members

Amy L. Bess	202-312-3361
Sadina Montani	202-312-3363

VEDDER PRICE.

222 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 312-609-7500 | 312-609-5005 • FAX

1633 BROADWAY, 47th FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019 212-407-7700 | 212-407-7799 • FAX

1401 I STREET NW, SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 202-312-3320 | 202-312-3322 • FAX

www.vedderprice.com

About Vedder Price

Vedder Price P.C. is a national businessoriented law firm composed of more than 265 attorneys in Chicago, New York and Washington, D.C. The firm combines broad, diversified legal experience with particular strengths in labor and employment law and litigation, employee benefits and executive compensation law, occupational safety and health, general litigation, corporate and business law, commercial finance, financial institutions, environmental law, securities, investment management, tax, real estate, intellectual property, estate planning and administration, health care, trade and professional association, and not-forprofit law.

© 2011 Vedder Price P.C. The LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LAW BULLETIN is intended to keep our clients and interested parties generally informed on labor law issues and developments. It is not a substitute for professional advice. For purposes of the New York State Bar Rules, this bulletin may be considered ATTORNEY ADVERTISING. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Reproduction is permissible with credit to Vedder Price P.C. For additional copies or an electronic copy of this bulletin, please contact us at info@vedderprice.com.

Questions or comments concerning the LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LAW BULLETIN or its contents may be directed to the firm's Labor Practice Leader, Thomas M. Wilde (312-609-7821), the Managing Shareholder of the firm's New York office, Neal I. Korval (212-407-7780), or, in Washington, D.C., Amy L. Bess (202-312-3361).