VEDDERPRICE

Labor Law Bulletin

Labor and employment law trends of interest to our clients and other friends.

January 26, 2005

SUPREME COURT DECIDES TO INCLUDE ATTORNEY'S FEES IN PLAINTIFF'S GROSS INCOME

On January 24, 2005, the U.S. Supreme Court decided whether the portion of a judgment or settlement paid to a plaintiff's attorney under a contingent fee agreement is income to the plaintiff. The Court held that the entire recovery should be included in the plaintiff's gross income. *Commissioner of Internal Revenue v. Banks*, No. 03-892.

Prior to the decision, the federal courts had reached different results on this issue. In those jurisdictions where the fee payment constituted income to the plaintiff, the practical effect was to drive up the cost of settlement since the plaintiff had a tax liability for the monies he received to discharge his claim as well as for the monies he received to pay his attorney since such attorney fees were often not deductible in whole or in part.

The Supreme Court decided that money paid to a litigant's attorney arises from the claim and that the litigant controls the claim. As a result, the money paid to the litigant's attorney is includible in the litigant's gross income even when paid directly to the attorney.

In light of legislation that took effect last year, this decision has limited application. Under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 ("AJCA"), a taxpayer may deduct from gross income attorney's fees and court costs paid in connection with "any action" involving a claim of "unlawful discrimination," which includes a number of specific federal statutes and any federal, state, or local law regulating any aspect of the employment relationship or prohibiting the discharge of an employee, to the extent the recovery is includible in the taxpayer's gross income for the year. Because the AJCA allows an above-the-line deduction as opposed to an itemized deduction, the deduction is also allowed in computing the plaintiff's alternative minimum tax liability. This AJCA provision is effective for fees and costs paid after Oct. 22, 2004 with respect to any judgment or settlement occurring after that date. There is some question whether the AJCA provision applies to settlements reached before a suit is filed or to payment or settlement of a claim before an administrative agency.

The Supreme Court's decision makes clear that the portion of a settlement of an employment claim allocable to the claimant's attorney's fees should be reported as income to the claimant. Whether or not the claimant can then offset that inclusion through a deduction under the AJCA depends on the date the settlement was reached and, possibly, the procedural posture of the claim at the time of settlement.

If you have any questions regarding this decision or a related issue, please contact Bruce Alper, Dan Sherlock, or another Vedder Price attorney.

VEDDER, PRICE, KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C.

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C. is a national, full-service law firm with over 210 attorneys in Chicago, New York City and New Jersey. The firm combines broad, diversified legal experience with particular strengths in labor and employment law and litigation, employee benefits and executive compensation law, occupational safety and health, general litigation, corporate and business law, commercial finance, financial institutions, environmental law, securities, investment management, tax, real estate, intellectual property, estate planning and administration, health care, trade and professional association and not-for-profit law.

© 2005 Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C. The *Labor Law Bulletin* is intended to keep our clients and interested parties generally informed on labor law issues and developments. It is not a substitute for professional advice. Reproduction is permissible with credit to Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C. For an electronic version or extra copies of this bulletin, please contact Mary Pennington at her e-mail address: mpennington@vedderprice.com.

Questions or comments concerning the Bulletin or its contents may be directed to its Editor, **James S. Petrie** (312/609-7660), or the firm's Labor Practice Leader, **Bruce R. Alper** (312/609-7890), or the Managing Shareholder of the firm's New York office, **Neal I. Korval** (212/407-7780), or in New Jersey, **John E. Bradley** (973/597-1100).

Chicago

222 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60601 312/609-7500

Facsimile: 312/609-5005

New York

805 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212/407-7700

Facsimile: 212/407-7799

New Jersey

Five Becker Farm Road Roseland, New Jersey 07068

973/597-1100

Facsimile: 973/597-9607